# Mathematical Modeling for Reliability Assessment of Uninterruptible Power Supply System in Sokoto State, Nigeria

M.B. Adamu<sup>1</sup>, M. Momoh<sup>2</sup>, I.G Saidu<sup>3</sup>, N.S. Jega<sup>4</sup>, M.I. Ilyasu<sup>5</sup>, A. Tukur (MIEEE, MIET, MITP)<sup>6</sup>, S. Buda<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1,2,6</sup>(Physics department, faculty of science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto- Nigeria)
 <sup>3,5</sup>(Physics unit, Sokoto state polytechnic, Sokoto- Nigeria)
 <sup>4</sup>(School of nursing and midwifery Binin Kebbi, Kebbi state-Nigeria)
 <sup>7</sup>(Renewable energy research centre, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto-Nigeria)

**ABSTRACT:** Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) is a system which is designed to provide a short-term power supply for our constant power demanding electronic equipment. This research paper presents a mathematical modeling for the reliability assessment of UPS system in Sokoto State, Nigeria, using part stress method. Data on failures of APC BG650MI in Sokoto were used as a case study, with special consideration given to factors like environmental impact, quality of power supply, service personnel, human factors (over and under usage). A comparative assessment was made on the reliability and reliability indices of the UPS when operated within Sokoto environment and when operated within the environment for which it was planned (USA). The result shows that lower reliability level is associated with the use of the UPS in Sokoto State of Nigeria, as compared with the country for which it was designed.

Keywords: Availability, failure rate, Maintainability, Modeling, MTBF, MTTF, Reliability,

# I. INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1** Background to the study

To help us better understand our world, we often describe a particular phenomenon mathematically by means of a function or an equation called model. Such mathematical model is an idealization of the real-world phenomenon and never a completely accurate representation [1]. Hence model can only approximate real-world behavior. However most models simplify reality, a good one can provide valuable result and conclusions. Also mathematical model can help us understand a behavior better or aid up in planning for the future. The model allows us to reach mathematical conclusions about the behavior of system as illustrated in fig. 1.1 [13]



Fig 1.1: A flow of the modeling process beginning with an examination of the real-world data.

Reliability is the ability of an apparatus, machine, or system to consistently Perform its intended or required function or mission, on demand and without degradation or failure. It can also defined as the probability of failure-free performance over an item's life, or a specified timeframe, under specified environmental and duty-cycle conditions; often expressed as mean time between failures (MTBF) or reliability coefficient [18][19], also called quality over time [16]

# II. FAILURE RATE PREDICTION Prediction model

The failure rate of the system is calculated by summing up the failure rates of each component each category (based on probability theory). This applies under the assumption that a failure of any component is assumed to lead to a system failure. [4][9][17].

#### Failure rate prediction at reference conditions (part count)

The failure rate for equipment under reference conditions is calculated as follows:

$$\lambda_{s,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ (\lambda_{ref})_i$$

Where

 $\lambda_{s,i}$  is the failure rate of equipment made up of several components

 $\lambda_{\text{ref}}\,$  is the failure rate under reference conditions

n is the number of components

The reference conditions adopted are typical for the majority of applications of components in equipment. Reference conditions include statements about [5]

- Operating phase
- Failure criterion
- Operation mode (e.g. continuous, intermittent)
- Climatic and mechanical stresses
- Electrical stresses.

It is assumed that the failure rate used under reference conditions is specific to the component [7][8]

#### Failure rate prediction at operating conditions (part stress)

Components in equipment may not always operate under the reference conditions. In such cases, the real operational conditions will result in failure rates different from those given for reference conditions. Therefore, models for stress factors, by which failure rates under reference conditions can be converted to values applying for operating conditions (actual ambient temperature and actual electrical stress on the components) a  $\underline{n}$  uired.

$$\lambda_{s,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{} (\lambda_{ref} \pi_u \pi_T)_i$$

Wher

 $\lambda_{ref} \qquad \text{ is the failure under reference conditions} \\$ 

- $\pi_u$  is the voltage dependence factor
- $\pi_{I}$  is the current dependence factor
- $\pi_{T}$  is the temperature dependence factor
- n is the number of components [6][8][12].

The factors should be used for converting reference failure rates to field failure rates. The stress models are empirical and allow fittings of observed data [17].

#### The failure rate prediction process

The failure rate prediction process consists of the following steps.

Define the equipment to be analysed

- Understand system by analyzing equipment structure
- Determine operational conditions: operating temperature, related stress.
- Determine the actual electrical stresses for each component.
- Select the reference failure rate for each component from the database.

- In the case of a failure rate prediction at operating conditions calculate the failure rate under operating conditions for each component using the relevant stress level.

- Sum up the component failure rates
- Document the results and the assumptions.

The following data is needed

- Description of equipment including structural information
- All components categories and the number of components in each category.
- Failure rate at reference conditions for all components

Relevant stress factor for the components.[6][9]

#### Types of power problems and effect on critical load (UPS)

There are many types of power disturbances that cause electronic equipment like UPS to malfunction. These disturbances include [14] sag, noise, surge, brown-out etc. fig 2.1 below shows how the above mentioned disturbances are manifested [11].



Fig.2.1: disturbance on the ac line

#### III. ASSUMPTIONS

As stated earlier, failure rate predictions and hence, the reliability assessment of a system demand that certain assumptions must be made. In this research, the following, assumption were made in the mathematical modeling for reliability assessment of the UPS under consideration

1. The components are assumed to be working at maximum rated power (maximum watts). It is generally assume therefore that weighting factor due to rating  $W_R$  for capacitor, transistor diode and resistor are 6.0, 2.0 and 1.0 respectively [9][15][19][21]

2. The Operational environment is at normal room temperature of  $28^{\circ}$ C and there is no mechanical motion/vibration or shock experienced by the UPS.

3. No specific value is usually allotted to the derating factor of items such as crystal connector and heat sink, in the literature [19]. They are generally assumed to be unity in the computation of the overall failure rate. Thus is to be adopted in this thesis.

4. Electronic components may be connected either in series or parallel. While reliability prediction techniques such as similar complexity and prediction by function techniques take the method of connections into consideration, the parts stress method does not [9]. In this research, reliability is evaluated strictly and only on the basis of operational stress of the component parts of the system

#### 3.3 **Reliability Indices**

Two of such parameters that are commonly used according to Susan, (2010) are the

Mean time before failure (MTBF) and Mean time to failure (MTTF)

#### 3.3.1 Mean Time before Failure (MTBF)

For a repairable system, mean time before failure (MTBF) is the mean or average time before successful failures of the system [10]. The MTBF can be obtained by running a system for a predetermined length of time under specified conditions. Hence for the failure race  $\lambda$ , which is the number of failure per unit time, MTBF is given by [9][15][19][21]

$$MTBF = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
(3.1)

#### 3.3.2 Mean Time to Failure (MTTF)

The mean time to failure (MTTF) is used for component or items that are not repairable example filament lamps, resistors, capacitors and so on, which are disposed off as soon as they fail.[19][21].

MTTF can be computed after testing a numbers of items, N in a specified way (example by applying certain electrical, mechanical, heat or humidity conditions) until all failed.

If the times to failure are  $(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n)$  then the observed MTTF is given [19] as  $MTTF = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - t_0)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - t_0)}$ 

i.e. 
$$MTTF = \frac{(t_1 - t_0) + (t_2 - t_0) + \dots + (t_n - t_0)}{N}$$

 $t_0 =$ starting (reference time) where

 $(t_1 - t_0) =$  period to 1<sup>st</sup> failure

 $(t_2 - t_0) =$  period to  $2^{nd}$  failure  $(t_n - t_0) =$  period to  $n^{th}$  failure

*.*..

N = total number of failure components.

Consider the case in which a fixed number N<sub>0</sub> of identical components are tested.

Let  $N_s$  = number surving up to time t.

 $N_f$  = number failed up to time t.

 $N_0 = N_s + N_f = \text{total number in operation at } t=0$ 

Reliability at any time t becomes

$$R(t) = \frac{N_s}{N_0} \tag{3.2}$$

The failure rate  $\lambda(t)$  is normally defined by the mathematical relation

$$\lambda(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{N_s} \times \frac{\Delta N_f}{\Delta t}$$
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N_s} \times \frac{dN_f}{dt}$$
(3.3)

 $N_s$  = number of serving items after a life test where

 $\Delta N_f$  = number of failure item during the time interval,  $\Delta t$ .

Consider the case in which a fixed number N<sub>0</sub> of identical components are tested, Let

 $N_s$  = number surving up to time t

 $N_f$  = number failed up to time t

 $N_0 = N_s + N_f =$ total number in operation at t=0

Reliability at anytime t becomes *.*..

$$R(t) = \frac{N_s}{N_0}$$

and failure rate (for constant failure rate)

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N_f} \times \frac{dN_f}{dt} \quad \text{from Equation (3.3)}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N_0 - N_s} \times \frac{dN_f}{dt}$$
$$\int \lambda dt = \int \frac{1}{N_0 - N_s} dN_f$$

or

introducing the limits

$$\int_0^t \lambda dt = \int_0^{N_f} \frac{1}{N_0 - N_s} dN_f$$

$$\lambda t = -\left[\log_e \left(N_o - N_f\right) - \log_e \left(N_o - 0\right)\right]$$
$$-\lambda t = \log_e \left(\frac{N_0 - N_f}{N_0}\right)$$
Thus  $e^{-\lambda t} = 1 - \frac{N_f}{N_0}$  (3.4)

But from Equation (3.3)

$$R(t) = \frac{N_s}{N_o} = \frac{N_0 - N_s}{N_0} = \left(1 - \frac{N_s}{N_0}\right)$$
(3.5)

Comparing equations (3) and (4), we have

$$R(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$
(3.6)

The general expression for MTBF, m is [9][19][21]

$$m = \int_0^\infty R(t) dt \tag{3.7}$$

For the case when  $\lambda$  is constant from equation (3.6)

$$R = e^{-\lambda t} \text{ so equation (3.7) becomes}$$

$$m = \int_0^\infty R(t) dt$$

$$= -\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-\lambda t}\right]_0^\infty$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[e^\infty - e^0\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \qquad (3.9)$$

*m* = λ

If failure are due to chance and if the failure rate  $\lambda$  is constant, then

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{MTTF}$$
 for non-repairable items

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{MTBF}$$
 for repairable items

#### 3.3.3 Equipment Availability

Equipment availability is the probability that an equipment will perform its required function at a stated instant of time or over a stated period of time [19]. Availability is a function of the utilization factor (µ). The utilization factor of a unit or system is defined as the ratio of the operating time (top) to the sum of maintenance time  $(t_m)$ , idle time  $(t_{id})$  and operating time  $(t_{op})$ , which may occur between completion of maintenance and the use due to administrative reason [22] Mathematically,

$$\therefore \quad A = \frac{MTBF}{MTBF + MTTF} \tag{3.10}$$

#### **3.4 Failure rates of Electronic components**

The general expression for the failure rate of the parts stress method is given as;

 $\lambda_{i} = \lambda_{\rm B} \pi_{\rm E} \pi_{\rm A} \pi_{\rm Q} \pi_{\rm N} \ldots / 10^{6} \mbox{ hrs}$  Where

(3.11)

 $\lambda_i$  = The failure of the i<sup>th</sup> part

 $\lambda_b$ = The basic failure rate obtained from derated data for each generic part against normalized stress and temperature factor.

 $\pi_E$ = Account for the environmental factors other than temperature

 $\pi_{\rm O}$ = Account for the quality factor

 $\pi_N$ = Account for the any additional factor that has not been taken care of above [17]

#### **Reliability in UPS design**

In each UPS design, it is possible to obtain the system reliability in Sokoto as compared to designed reliability for the target environment. Part stress method is used in this work to obtain the failure rate. Two failure rates therefore, will be estimated.

(a) Designed failure rate- as will be applicable to the system operating in the environment for which it was designed for.

(b) Relative failure rate- as will be applicable to the system operating in the Sokoto.

The conclusions arrived at can be used as guide lines for optimal design of system operating in the region of interest and hence assess the reliability of any UPS model operating in the region.

#### 3.4.1 Program Organization

The main program are in six parts, namely the effective failure rate of UPS for designed country, effective failure rate of UPS in Sokoto State, reliability, Mean time to repair (MTTR), Availability of the UPS components and finally the redundancies of the parallel component in the UPS.



# 4.1 Failure rate results

# IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Tables 4.1 and 4.2 shows the failure rate results obtained for each component in the UPS under consideration.

Circuit	Description of	No. of	Roo	Roo	Base	Base	Volta	Effective	Effective
Compone	components	comp	m	m	failure	failure	ge	failure	failure
nts	-	onent	tem	temp	rate for	rate for	stress	rate in	rate in
		( <b>n</b> )	pt in	t	compone	compone	ratio	USA	Sokoto
			USA	in	nts in	nt in			
			(TD)	Sokot	USA	Sokoto	(K)	( <b>\lambda BDeff</b> )	( <b>\lambda BSeff</b> )
				0	(λΒD	(λBS×10			
				( <b>T</b> ( <b>s</b> )	×10-6)	-6)			
F3	AC FUSE	1	21	28	0.02	0.02	0.94	0.3948	0.5264
53	(1A,239V)	1	01	20	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.4022	0.5354
F2	1A,125V	1	21	28	0.02	0.02	0.96	0.4032	0.5376
F1 F4	30A, 32V	1	21	28	0.02	0.02	0.375	0.1575	0.21
F4	30A, 32V	1	21	28	0.02	0.02	0.375	0.1575	0.21
ICI	LM78DN	1	21	28	0.007	0.91	0.125	0.018375	3.185
102	(REGULATORS)	4	- 21	20	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.6004	10.00/4
103	CD40106BCN	4	21	28	0.01	0.91	0.81	0.6804	10.8864
1C4	LM339N	3	21	28	0.007	0.12	0.81	0.35721	61.9164
105	CD4011BCN	3	21	28	0.01	0.19	0.8107	0.510741	8.1719
106	CD4066BCN	2	21	28	0.01	0.12	0.8107	0.340494	5.4479
107	SG3542BN	1	21	28	0.011	0.12	0.3875	0.0895125	1.6275
C5	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.15	0.22	0.003696	0.6776
<b>C21</b>		4	01	20	0.0000	0.011	0.11/5	0.0010/0/	0.02504
C21	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.1167	0.0019606	0.03594
701		1	- 21	20	0.010	0.011	0.0425	0.05(455	0.04(2
11	LOW POWER	1	21	28	0.019	0.011	0.9435	0.376457	9.2463
Т?		1	21	28	0.003	0.35	0 10/2	0.0065700	0 12726
12	TDANSFORMED	1	21	20	0.003	0.35	0.1045	0.0005709	0.13720
D4 D7	DDDCE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.047	0.0102	0.0026611	0.2742
D4-D7	DKIDGE DECTIFIED	1	21	20	0.0000	0.047	0.0192	0.0020011	0.2742
D8	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.51	0.0052	<u>2</u> 0.0007207	0.074256
20	DIODL	1		20	0.0000	0.01	0.002	72	0.074250
D47	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.51	0.0066	0.0009147	0.09423
		-						6	
RY1	RELAY	8	21	28	0.44	0.51	0.272	2.51328	21.3248
FET	INVERTER	1	21	28	0.046	2.8	0.2143	1.6561106	76.80512
	CIRCUIT								
J3	TOGGLE	1	21	28	0.035	0.61	1	0.735	17.08
R51	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.77	0.49445	6.04968
	RESISTOR								
R56	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.3	0.5355	0.6552
	RESISTOR								
R53	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.7	0.48195	5.8958
	RESISTOR								
R59	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	1.54	0.27489	3.36336
	RESISTOR								
VR2	VARIABLE	1	21	28	0.086	1.3	0.5097	0,9.20518	18.55308
	RESISTOR							2	
R60	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.5	0.08925	1.092
	RESISTOR								
R38	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0051	0.0066	2.45	0.262395	0.452776
R22	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.55	0.098175	1.2012
VR3	VARIABLE	1	21	28	0.0051	1.3	0.3333	0.6019398	12.13212
	RESISTOR								
R14	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2	0.336	4.368
D15	RESISTOR				0.001	0.070	0.11	0.050105	0.00=/:
R15	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.086	0.078	0.41	0.073185	0.89544
	RESISTOR	1	1	1			1		

1100000000000000000000000000000000000	Mathematical Modeli	ing For Reliability	v Assessment O	of Uninterruptible
---------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------	--------------------

R16	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.61	0.108885	1.33224
<b>D</b> 20	WIDE WOUND	1	1	20	0.0095	0.079	0.07	0.012405	0 15399
K20	WIKE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.07	0.012495	0.15288
	RESISTOR								
R17	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.04	0.00714	0.08736
	RESISTOR								
VR4	VARIABLE	1	21	28	0.0085	1.3	0.7618	1.3758108	27.72952
	RESISTOR								
VR18	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.45	0.080325	0.9828
	RESISTOR								
R19	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.086	0.078	0.88	0.15708	1.92192
	RESISTOR	_							
R127	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.18	0.03213	0.39312
	RESISTOR			_					
R27	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	11.5	2.05275	25.116
	RESISTOR								
R126	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	0.18	0.03213	0.39312
	RESISTOR								
R28	WIRE WOUND	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	11.5	2.05275	25.116
	RESISTOR								
RC1	CHOKE	1	21	28	0.072	0.045	0.044	0.066528	0.5544
	RESISTOR								
BATTER	6V, 11AMPS	2	21	28	1.9	2.58	1	79.8	144.48
Y	BATTERY								
TOTAL								97.871430	500.7779
	1				1			8	66

 TABLE 4.1 Failure for the BG650MI (series components)

Circuit	Description of	No	Roo	Rom	Base failure	Base	Voltage	Affective	Affective
Components	components	. 01 CO	tem	In	components	rate for	ratio	in USA	in Sokoto
		mp	pt in	Sokoto	in USA	component			
		on	USA	(T <sub>(s)</sub>	$(\lambda_{BD} X^{10-6})$	in Sokoto	(K)	$(\lambda_{BDeff})$	$(\lambda_{BSeff})$
		ent	( <b>T</b> <sub>D</sub> )			$(\lambda_{BS} X^{10-6})$			
		(n)							
C3	ELECTROLYTIC	1	21	28	0.0047	0.025	0.5148	0.05081076	0.36036
	CAPACITOR								
C27	ELECTROLYTIC	1	21	28	0.0047	0.025	0.7613	0.07514031	0.53291
~~~	CAPACITOR			**	0.011				
C23	CERAMIC	1	21	28	0.011	0.047	0.0002	0.0000462	0.0002632
C140	CAPACITOR	1	21	20	0.011	0.047	0.042	0.000022	0.05(5500
C49	CERAMIC	1	21	28	0.011	0.047	0.043	0.009933	0.0505588
C10	CEDAMIC	1	21	20	0.011	0.047	0.0466	0.0107646	0.0612256
019	CAPACITOR	1	21	20	0.011	0.047	0.0400	0.010/040	0.0015250
C4	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0 1 1 4 5	0.0019236	0.035266
0.	CAPACITOR	-		-0	0.0000	0.011	0.1110	0.001/200	0.022200
C9	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.011	0.0001848	0.003388
	CAPACITOR	_							
C10	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.034	0.0005712	0.010472
	CAPACITOR								
C8	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.0348	0.00058468	0.0107184
	CAPACITOR								
C6	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.528	0.0088704	0.0162624
-	CAPACITOR								
C17	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.02262	0.000380016	0.006967
	CAPACITOR			**		0.011			
C18	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.0267	0.00044856	0.008224
C22	CAPACITOR	1	01	20	0.0000	0.011	0.0002	0.0000226	0.0000(1(
C22	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.0002	0.00000336	0.0000010
C45	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.617	0.00103656	0.010004
C43	CAPACITOR	1	21	20	0.0000	0.011	0.017	0.00103030	0.019004
C46	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.617	0.00106356	0.019004
0.0	CAPACITOR	-		-0	0.0000	0.011	0.017	0.00100220	0.019001
C7	FILM	1	21	28	0.0008	0.011	0.024	0.0004032	0.007392
	CAPACITOR	-							
J15,14,11,4,2	TOGGLE	6	21	28	0.035	0.61	1	4.41	102.48
.1									

L1-2	FIXED COIL	2	21	28	0.44	0.048	11.7	0.78624	31.4496
	INDUCTOR			**					<
RY1	RELAY	1		28	0.44	2.8	0.08	0.7392	6.272
RY2	RELAY	1	21	28	0.086	2.8	0.00112	0.0103488	0.087808
VR1	VARIABLE RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.1	1.3	0.5653	1.0209318	20.57692
MOV1-2	VARIABLE	2	21	28	0.1	1.2	0.8667	3.64014	58.24224
	RESISTOR TRIMMER								
MOV3	VARIABLE	1	21	28	0.0066	1.2	0.0975	0.20475	3.276
	RESISTOR								
	TRIMMER								
D48	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.51	0.0036	0.000049896	0.051408
D44	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.51	0.0018	0.000024948	0.025704
D9	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0066	0.51	0.0018	0.000024948	0.025704
R123	DIODE	1	21	28	0.0005	0.51	0.0066	0.00091476	0.09428
R9	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	0.81	0.008505	0.149688
R11	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	8.75	0.091875	1.67
R57	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	1.54	0.1617	0.284592
R122	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	1.35	0.014175	0.24948
R39	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	1.35	0.014175	0.24948
R23	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	2.45	0.025725	0.45276
R58	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	10.7	0.11235	1.97736
R21	FILM RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	2.93	0.030765	0.541464
R10	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0005	0.0066	3.65	0.038325	0.67452
R55	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	3.3	0.03465	7.2072
R50	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	3.34	0.59619	7.29456
R52	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.77	0.494445	6.04968
R61	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	8.35	1.490475	18.2364
R63	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	11.8	2.1063	25.7712
R54	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.74	0.048909	5.98416
R62	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.77	0.494445	6.04968
R125	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	1.76	0.31416	3.84384
R24	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	2.13	0.380205	4.65192
R26	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	1.11	0.198135	2.42424
R12	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	4.07	0.726495	8.88888
R13	WIRE WOUND RESISTOR	1	21	28	0.0085	0.078	4.09	0.730065	8.93256
BATTERY	6V,11AMPS BATTERY	2	21	28	1.9	2.48	1	79.8	144.48
TOTAL								98.74155349	479.7935342

The results in Tables 4.1 and 4.2 are obtained using equation (3.11)

## 4.2 Reliability assessment for BG650MI APC UPS

The effective failure rate of the BG650MI UPS in USA for which it is designed is

 $\lambda_{BDeff}$  (series) +  $\lambda_{BDeff}$  (parallel)

 $\lambda_{\rm BDeff} = 1.9661 \times 10^{-4}$ 

Hence reliability  $R = e^{-\lambda BDefft}$ 

Reliability of the BG650MI UPS for first year in USA is

For one year  $\Rightarrow$  365days×24 hours= 8760 hrs R= e<sup>-1.9661×.0001×8760</sup> = 0.1787  $\cong$  17.9%

Similarly, effective failure rate of the BG650MI UPS in Sokoto, Nigeria, environment is

 $\lambda_{BSeff}$  (series) +  $\lambda_{BSeff}$  (parallel)

 $\lambda_{\rm BSeff} = 9.8057 \times 10^{-4}$ 

Reliability of the BG650MI for the first year in Sokoto is:

Hence reliability  $R = e^{-\lambda BSefft}$ 

Reliability of the BG650MI for the first year in Sokoto is;

For one year  $\Rightarrow$  365 days  $\times$  24 hours = 8760 hrs

 $R = e^{-9.8057 \times 10^{-4} \times 8760} = .00185991 \cong 0.19\%$ 

TABLE 4.3	<b>Reliability result for five years</b>
	Remubling result for five years

	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5			
DESIGN	1.786548E-01	3.191753E-02	5.702218E-03	1.018728E-03	1.81997E-04			
SOKOTO	1.85991E-04	3.4E-08	6.433966E-12	1.196710E-15	2.225578E-19			



Fig.4.1: Reliability against time graph

From fig. 4.1: we can appreciate and compare the reliability of the UPS in country which the system was designed for USA (series1) and that of Sokoto State (series 2) and see that the UPS under consideration is relatively higher in reliability in the country which the system was designed for than in the applied State. The reliability exponential decaying function graph shows that the UPS has a higher failure rate in Sokoto State, due to factors which are associated with them like voltage fluctuations, very high dust, surge frequency, high relative humidity, high temperature etc.

The ratio of the failure rate of Sokoto State to designed country is  $9.8057 \times 10^{-4}$ :  $1.96613 \times 10^{-4}$ 

≅5:1.

Comparatively, the rate of failure of the UPS in the country which the system was designed for is very much less than Sokoto case.

#### 4.3 **Reliability Indices Results**

## **MTTF Results**

From the failure rate of the system obtained for the designed and applied country, we obtain the Mean Time To Failure (MTTF) of the system as follows.

 $MTTF = \frac{1}{\lambda Beff}$ 

 $MTTF_{D} = \frac{1}{\lambda BDeff}$ 

(4.2)

The mean time the system is expected to function before failure (MTTF) in Sokoto state is 0.12yrs as against for the designed country which is approximately 0.58 yrs. The rate is about five times higher than the Sokoto case. It is possible to compare the result obtained for the failure rates obtained in computer model and the MTTF<sub>s</sub> of table 4.9 of the data collection from utility maintenance centre UDUSOK in Sokoto on BG650MI

The MTTF and the failure rate can be obtained as follows

MTTF<sub>Data</sub> =  $\frac{\Sigma MTTF}{N}$  =  $\frac{86}{60}$  = 1.43333  $\cong$  1.4yrs Failure rate Data

 $\lambda_{\text{Data}} = \frac{1}{1.4 \times 365 \times 24} = 81.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ hrs which can be compared modeled failure rate of } 98.8057 \times 10^{-6}$ 

The table 4.4 below shows the MTTR of the country for which the system was designed (USA) for over a period of five (5) years. The same information is depicted in the fig4.2. It is observed that MTTR decreases as the number of years increases. This is to be expected, because there are adequate maintenance facilities and personnel.



Figure 4.2: Mean Time to Repair against time graph

The MTTR for Sokoto cannot be obtained because of poor maintenance facilities, personnel and record keeping scheme common Nigeria.

#### Availability (A)

From equation (3.10)

 $A = \frac{\dot{M}TBF}{MTBF + MTTR}$ 

The above expression for the MTTR only hold for the designed country, where maintenance is regular and spare parts are readily available to put the system in order. Then the availability expression above will be true. This is however, not true for the Sokoto whose level of maintenance culture is low and the level of the maintenance personnel is very poor due to low technological know-how.

Table 4.3 and fig 4.3 gives the calculated values and graph respectively of availability of the design country for the period under consideration (5 years). The result shows that the availability of the equipment increases with the number of year. This could have accounted for the fact that maintenance is regular and spare parts are readily available.

Table 4.5 availability for the designed country								
	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5			
DESIGN	0.999885896	0.999942925	0.999961949	0.999971461	0.999977169			

Table 4.5 availability for the designed country



Figure 4.3 Availability against time

# 4.4 Fault analysis of BG650MI UPS

Sixty UPS were obtained from utility maintenance centre UDUS and considered for failure rate analyses, the fault that are associated with them hence obtained the components that are constantly prone to failure.

	-	1 able 4.0	. Failure rate allary	515 01 00 DG0301	11015	
S/No	MODEL	YEAR OF	YEAR OF 1 <sup>51</sup>	MTTF YFF-	DIAGNOSIS	REMARKS
		MANUFACTURE	FAILURE	YM) YR		
		(YM) YR	(YFF) YR			
1	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Bad o/p	Repaired
					Transfer	
2	BG650MI	2007	2009	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
3	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
4	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
5	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	<b>Blown MOV</b>	Repaired
6	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown MOV</b>	Repaired
7	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
8	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
9	BG650MI	2007	2008	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
10	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
11	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown FET	Repaired
12	BG650MI	2007	2009	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
13	BG650MI	2007	2008	1	Blown FET	Repaired
14	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
15	BG650MI	2007	2009	2	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
16	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
17	BG650MI	2006	2009	3	Bad o/p	Repaired
					Transfer	_
18	BG650MI	2005	2008	3	<b>Bad battery</b>	Repaired
19	BG650MI	2005	2008	3	Not charging	Repaired
20	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	<b>Bad battery</b>	Repaired
21	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown board	Repaired
22	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
23	BG650MI	2007	2009	2	Not charging	Repaired
24	BG650MI	2008	2010	2	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
25	BG650MI	2009	2010	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
26	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown MOV</b>	Repaired
27	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired

28	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
29	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown FET	Repaired
30	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
31	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Blown FET	Repaired
32	BK650MI	2007	2008	1	<b>Blown Board</b>	Repaired
33	BG650MI	2006	2008	2	Blown FET	Repaired
34	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	Not charging	Repaired
35	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	Bad o/p	Repaired
					transfer	-
36	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Bad Battery</b>	Repaired
37	BG650MI	2008	2010	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
38	BG650MI	2008	2009	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
39	BG650MI	2009	2010	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
40	BG650MI	2008	2009	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
41	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
42	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Bad o/p	Repaired
					transform	
43	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
44	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
45	BG650MI	2007	2008	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
46	BG650MI	2008	2009	2	Not charging	Repaired
47	BG650MI	2008	2009	1	Blown board	Repaired
48	BG650MI	2008	2009	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
49	BG650MI	2009	2010	1	Not charging	Repaired
50	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
51	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Bad battery	Repaired
52	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
53	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
54	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
55	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	Not charging	Repaired
56	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	Blown MOV	Repaired
57	BG650MI	2005	2007	2	Blown MOV	Repaired
58	BG650MI	2005	2008	3	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
59	BG650MI	2005	2006	1	<b>Blown FET</b>	Repaired
60	BG650MI	2006	2007	1	Bad battery	Repaired

Mathematical Modeling For Reliability Assessment Of Uninterruptible...

Table 4.7: fault analysis for 60 bg650mi ups

S/NO	PARTS	NO. OF	% OF
		OCCURRENCE	OCCURRENCE
1	Blown Metal Oxide varistor (MOV)	23	38.33
2	Blown FET (Field Effects Transistor)	18	30.00
3	Blown Board	4	6.67
4	Bad Output Transformer	3	5.00
5	Bad Battery	6	10.00
6	Charging Problem	6	10.00
	TOTAL	60	100%

MOV faults forms 38.33% of the total faults, blown FET forms 30% of the total faults, blown Board forms 6.67% of the total faults, bad Output Transformer forms 5% of the total faults, bad Battery forms 10% of the total faults, Charging Problem forms 10% of the total faults

The result shows that Blown MOV and Blown FET accounts for the major faults that are associated with UPS in Sokoto. Anti surge suppressor and stabilizer will serve as a measure against these problems [2].

# V. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are derived from the research, to have high degree of reliability in Sokoto State:

- **a.** Use of air conditioner as means of improving the environmental condition that are obtainable in the USA. This will provide a means of removing excess heat away from the system and appreciably reducing the dust deposited on it.
- **b.** Use of stabilizers and voltage surge suppressor to reduce the operating stresses on the system.
- **c.** Exposing maintenance staff to the importance of keeping data that will help to determine the reliability of design, MTTR and availability of the system.
- **d.** Training in Reliability Physics and Reliability Engineering should be made part of science/engineering curriculum study so as to increase the number of expert in maintenance engineering in Sokoto State.
- **e.** Availability of component- Components that have been discovered to be constantly failing should be made available to the maintenance engineers, so that the availability of the system can be increased.
- **f.** From the result of this research paper, it is possible for the designer of UPS system to make a type that can work durably in Sokoto State and Nigeria at large.

#### 5.1 Suggestion for further work

Given the rapid rate of power failure in Sokoto State, UPS will constantly be needed to provide a short-time back-up power supply. The following are recommended for further work on this paper.

- 1. Collection and keeping of relevant maintenance data which can be used for further reliability analysis of UPS in the State.
- 2. The design and construction of UPS system that will meet the demand of the State and Nigeria at large by derating all UPS components to address all the factors affecting reliability in the State.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Ajibola S.O (2009), "Introduction Mathematical Modeling. Published by national open University of Nigeria.
- [2]. Akinsanmi, O. (2003) "Assessing the Reliability of UPS system for medical operation in Zaria, Kaduna State". The Information Manager, Vol.5, 2005. PP.1-11. Department of Library and Information science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- [3]. APC Power protection manual, (1995), Retrieved from <u>http://www.goggle.com</u> on September 9, 2010.
- [4]. Ask.com, (2011), "Reliability prediction of Electronic equipment". Retrieved January 17, 2011 from <u>http://www.ask.com</u>
- [5]. Barlow, R.E. (1992) "Mathematical Reliability- An Historic perspective", IEEE Transaction on Reliability, Vol. R33, PP.16-20.
- [6]. Boston, B.M. (2004), "Reliability Predictions: part count, part stress and Dormant". Reliability training course vol. 3 No. 5, September, 2004.
- [7]. Bowels J.B. (1992) "A survey of reliability-prediction for microelectronic devices", IEEE Transaction on Reliability, Vol.41, pp. 2-12.
- [8]. Cadwallader, L.C.,(2007) "Failure Rate Data Analysis for High technology component". Eighth international topical meeting on nuclear application and utilization of accelerators.
- [9]. EPSMA, (2005), "Guidelines to understanding Reliability Prediction". Retrieved August 8, 2012 from http://www.epsma.org
- [10]. Gary, B. (2012), "Fundamentals; Understanding reliability terminology and factors that affect power supply reliability". Posted on July 30, 2012. Retrieved on September 15, 2012 from <u>www.xpower.com</u>
- [11]. Green, A.E (1978) "Electronic Reliability Appraisal". The Radio and Electronic Engineers Journal, 48(7/8):321-330.
- [12]. IEC61709, (1996), "Electronic Components- Reliability Reference Conditions for failure rates and stress models for conversion"
- [13]. Ignou, O. (2009), "Mathematical modeling for school of science". Published National Open University of Nigeria.
- [14]. Joseph, S. (2001), "The Seven Types of Power Problems", White paper 18, produced by Schneider Electrics Data Science Centre..
- [15]. Liu, X, Guo-Quan, L., William, T.R, Carlos, S., Louis, G., Daanvan, W., and Dusan, B., (2001), "Processing and Reliability Assessment of Solder Joint Interconnection for Power Chips". Dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, in partial fulfillment of the requirement of Doctor of Philosophy in Material Engineering and Science.
- [16]. Michael, C. (2010) http://www.Bussinessdictionary.com/definition/reliability-coefficient.httm, "definition of terms". Retrieved from goggle.com on January 5, 2010.
- [17]. MIL-HDBK-217, (1995), "Reliability prediction of Electronic equipment". Developed by Rome Laboratories, and the United States, Department of Defense.
- [18]. Morris, S.F.; Reilly, J.F. (1998) "MIL-HDBK-217—a favourite target", in Annual Reliability and Maintainability, pp. 503-509
- [19]. Oroge, C.O. (1991) "Fundamentals of Reliability and Testing Methods". Sooji press limited, Kaduna.
- [20]. Reuben, T.E. (1997), "Advanced on-line UPS System". Best power technology Ltd, Lagos Nigeria.
- [21]. Susan, S. (2010) "MTBF, MTTR and FIT Explanation of terms". Retrieved on July 8, 2011 from <u>http://www.goggle.com</u>
- [22]. Taylor, P.F.U. (1989) "Reliability Assessment and Design of Microcomputers for Administrative purposes in Developing countries", Ph.D Thesis ABU Zaria. Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering.